Divisions affected: *Kingston & Cumnor* 

## DELEGATED DECISIONS BY CABINET MEMBER FOR TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT

# 18 JULY 2024

## PUSEY: PROPOSED 20MPH SPEED LIMITS

#### **Report by Director of Environment and Highways**

### RECOMMENDATION

#### The Cabinet Member is RECOMMENDED to:

a) Approve the proposed introduction of 20mph speed limits in Pusey, as advertised.

### Executive Summary

1. The report presents responses to a statutory consultation on the proposed introduction of 20mph speed limits at Pusey as shown in **Annex 1**.

### **Financial Implications**

2. Funding for consultation and the proposals themselves has been provided by the County Council's 20mph Speed Limit Project

### Legal Implications

3. No legal implications have been identified in respect of the proposals, with proposed changes to existing Traffic Regulation Orders governed by the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and other associated procedural regulations. Failure to adhere to these statutory processes could result in the proposals being challenged.

# **Equality and Inclusion Implications**

4. No implications in respect of equalities or inclusion have been identified in respect of the proposals.

### Sustainability Implications

5. The proposals would help encourage walking and cycling within Pusey by making them safer and more attractive.

## **Formal Consultation**

6. Formal consultation was carried out between 05 and 28 June 2024. A notice was published in the Oxford Herald Series newspapers, and an email sent to statutory consultees & key-stakeholders, including Thames Valley Police, the Fire & Rescue Service, Ambulance service, Bus operators, countywide transport, access & disabled peoples user groups, Vale of White Horse District Council, the local District Cllrs, Pusey Parish Council, and the local County Councillor representing the Kingston & Cumnor division.

#### Statutory Consultee Responses:

- 7. Thames Valley Police re-iterated their views concerning OCC's policy and practice regarding 20mph speed limits and wish their response to be listed as 'having concerns' rather than an objection.
- 8. Oxford Bus Company offered no objection, citing that there would be no impact on their local bus services.

#### Other Responses:

- 9. No further responses were received during the course of the consultation.
- 10. The responses are shown in full at **Annex 2**, and copies of the original responses are available for inspection by County Councillors.


### **Officer Response to Objections/Concerns**

- 11. The main purpose of the scheme is to encourage greater use of active travel by reducing speeds; this will also reduce accidents. The aim of reducing speed limits is to change driver's mindsets to make speeding socially unacceptable and make more environmentally friendly modes of travel such as walking and cycling more attractive and also reduce the Counties carbon footprint. This forms part of a countywide programme of works that seeks to deliver 'a safer place with a safer pace'.
- 12. The concerns of Thames Valley Police comprise observations applicable to the overall 20mph project but no site-specific comments relating to the proposals for Pusey.
- 13. The authority considers objections along the lines of it being unjustified, anticar, a waste of money, not enforceable or pointless to not warrant amendments to a proposal. As such the authority has not addressed any specific comments made of this nature in this report.

Paul Fermer Director of Environment and Highways

Annexes	Annex 1: Consultation plan Annex 2: Consultation responses
Contact Officers:	Roger Plater (Senior Officer – Vision Zero) Matt Archer (Portfolio Manager - Programme Delivery)

July 2024



RESPONDENT	COMMENTS
(1) Traffic Management Officer, (Thames Valley Police)	Comments Concerns - Thank you for the consultation documents, in relation to the proposed speed limit change. Thames Valley Police welcome the opportunity to engage on plans for road safety improvement and acknowledge that 20mph limits can be a useful tool in road safety. There are other reasons 20mph limits may be desirable for communities, such as environmental concerns, and creating a shared space environment to encourage greater diversity of road users. Compliance with 20mph limits is a challenging issue as there is a difference between the achievable results of the various available schemes. For example a sign-only scheme will only have a limited effect on the mean speeds, as opposed to other schemes that influence the road environment, which is recognised as being key to achieving compliance. If a speed limit is set too low and is ignored then this could result in the vulnerable road user being less safe. It can also cause a dis-proportionate number of drivers to criminalise themselves and could bring the system of speed limits into disrepute. Thames Valley Police have no policy to enforce based on arbitrary speed limits alone but will enforce based on threat of harm, risk and resourcing. 20mph limits are not excluded from this and will be enforced where appropriate. There should be no expectation that the police would be able to provide regular enforcement if a speed limit is set too low as this could result in an unreasonable additional demand on police resources and there are no additional resources available to support extra enforcement. Messages from partners that police will not enforce need to be discouraged. Such messaging can encourage non-compliance and should be avoided. The policy of Thames Valley Police is to use sound practical and realistic criteria (Setting local speed limits - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)) when responding to Highway Authorities in an effort to promote consistency and to reduce the burden of constant and unnecessary enforcement. The advice shown in Circular Road
	road geometry and engineering

	<ul> <li>road function</li> <li>composition of road users (including existing and potential levels of vulnerable road users)</li> <li>existing traffic speeds</li> <li>road environment</li> </ul>
	However I recognise Oxfordshire County Council now have their own Policy for Setting Speed Limits and I expect full compliance of that policy going forward in relation to both monitoring , future engineering and self-enforcement through Community Speed Watch.
	Our stance remains that primarily 20 mph speed limits and zones should be self-enforcing
	Speed limits should be considered as part of a package of measures to manage vehicle speeds and improve road safety. Changes to the highway (for example through narrowing, providing vertical traffic calming or re-aligning the road) may be required to encourage lower speeds in addition to any change in speed limit. Though these may be more expensive, they are more likely to be successful in the long term in achieving lower speeds without the need for increased police enforcement to penalise substantial numbers of motorists.
<ul><li>(2) Head of Strategic</li><li>Development and the Built</li><li>Environment, (Oxford Bus</li><li>&amp; Thames Travel)</li></ul>	<b>No objection</b> – I can confirm that this affects no scheduled bus services. We thus offer no objection.